Volume - 15, Issue 1, March 2026
Print ISSN : 2277-7830
Online ISSN : 2348-5922

THE ROLE OF FINTECH IN GREEN BONDS AND ESG INVESTING

Mr. Harshal Patil* , Dr. Shailesh Kasande**, Dr. Jayasri Murali ***

I. ABSTRACT

This study explores perceptions of green bonds and fintech platforms in sustainable finance among a sample of 400 respondents. Findings indicate a moderate level of familiarity with green bonds, with a significant proportion of respondents expressing belief in their potential to address environmental challenges. Opinions on the role of fintech in promoting transparency in green bond investments varied, highlighting differing perspectives on the effectiveness of financial technology in advancing sustainability goals. Nevertheless, the majority of respondents emphasized the importance of fintech companies prioritizing the development of tools for ESG investing, reflecting a growing recognition of technology's role in fostering environmentally and socially responsible investment practices. However, confidence in the security of fintech solutions compared to traditional financial institutions remains varied. Future research could employ longitudinal and qualitative methods to track changes in attitudes over time and delve deeper into underlying perceptions and behaviors in sustainable finance.

Keywords: Green bonds, fintech, sustainable finance, perceptions, environmental and social responsibility.

II. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the intersection of finance and environmental sustainability has emerged as a pivotal arena for innovation and change. At the forefront of this movement are green bonds and ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) investing, two powerful mechanisms driving capital towards environmentally responsible projects and companies. Fintech, with its disruptive capabilities and transformative potential, is playing an increasingly integral role in shaping the landscape of green finance. This introduction delves into the symbiotic relationship between fintech and green bonds, alongside their collective impact on ESG investing, exploring key definitions, evolving trends, and the burgeoning opportunities for sustainable development.

* Assistant Professor, ATSS IICMR INSTITUTE NIGDI PUNE
**CEO and Group Director Suryadatta Group of Institutes
***Associate Professor, ATSS IICMR INSTITUTE NIGDI PUNE

DOI: 10.17697/ibmrd/2026/v15i1/174505 www.ibmrdjournal.in

Green bonds, often hailed as the vanguard of sustainable finance, represent debt instruments specifically earmarked to finance environmentally friendly projects. From renewable energy infrastructure to sustainable agriculture initiatives, green bonds facilitate the channeling of capital towards endeavors with positive ecological outcomes. Unlike traditional bonds, green bonds are designed to adhere to stringent environmental criteria, ensuring that the funds raised are allocated to projects that contribute to climate mitigation, adaptation, or other environmental objectives. As the urgency to combat climate change intensifies, green bonds have garnered significant traction among investors seeking to align their portfolios with sustainability goals while generating financial returns.

In parallel, the concept of ESG investing has gained widespread prominence, reflecting a holistic approach to investment decision-making that considers not only financial performance but also environmental, social, and governance factors. ESG investing emphasizes the integration of sustainability considerations into investment strategies, recognizing the interconnectedness between corporate behavior, societal impact, and long-term financial performance. Companies with strong ESG policies are increasingly seen as more resilient and better positioned to navigate changing market dynamics, garnering interest from investors who value sustainability and responsible business practices.Amidst the growing prominence of green finance and ESG principles, fintech has emerged as a catalyst for innovation, efficiency, and accessibility within the realm of sustainable investing. Fintech refers to a wide range of technologies and digital solutions designed to transform financial services, such as crowdfunding platforms, robo-advisors, blockchain technology, and data analytics. These fintech innovations are reshaping traditional investment paradigms, democratizing access to capital, and amplifying transparency and accountability in financial markets. In the context of green bonds and ESG investing, fintech is facilitating the democratization of sustainable finance by streamlining processes, enhancing data analytics capabilities, and broadening investor participation.

Furthermore, fintech is driving transformative change across the entire lifecycle of green bonds, from issuance and allocation to monitoring and reporting. Leveraging blockchain technology, fintech platforms are enhancing the transparency and traceability of green bond transactions, ensuring the integrity of environmental impact data and providing investors with real-time insights into the use of proceeds. Moreover, fintech solutions are empowering investors to assess the ESG performance of companies and bond issuers through advanced

DOI: 10.17697/ibmrd/2026/v15i1/174505 www.ibmrdjournal.in

data analytics and artificial intelligence, facilitating more informed investment decisions aligned with sustainability objectives. As fintech continues to evolve, its integration with green bonds and ESG investing holds the promise of unlocking new opportunities for sustainable development while reshaping the financial landscape towards a more inclusive and environmentally conscious future.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Nassiry (2018) discusses the potential of fintech to unlock green finance, emphasizing its role in developing technologies aligned with global sustainability goals. Monk and Perkins (2020) examine the emergence and diffusion of green bonds, highlighting the importance of product design and implementation in scaling up these financial instruments. Kila (2018) addresses challenges in the effectiveness of green bonds due to the lack of universally acceptable standards, particularly in measuring their application. Punzi (2018) explores the role of bank lending in financing green projects, noting that a positive financial shock can boost production and credit for the green sector. Muganyi, Yan, and Sun (2021) present evidence from China that fintech development contributes to reducing sulphur dioxide emissions, demonstrating the environmental impact of fintech in the context of green finance. Macchiavello and Siri (2020) assess the potential of fintech to address shortcomings in the current sustainable finance framework, suggesting that technology can enhance environmental goals. Dorfleitner and Braun (2019) discuss the applications of fintech and blockchain in green finance, highlighting their role in expanding access to finance and investment opportunities. Maltais and Nykvist (2020) analyze the role of green bonds in advancing sustainability, emphasizing their significance as a prominent innovation in sustainable finance. Alamgir and Cheng (2023) find that green bonds significantly influence emissions and renewable energy production, contributing to sustainability efforts. Udeagha and Muchapondwa (2023) provide policy insights for BRICS nations to accelerate the development of green financial products and expand green credit facilities. Vergara and Agudo (2021) explore the relationship between fintech and sustainability, suggesting that fintech can promote overall sustainability by facilitating green finance. Al Mheiri and Nobanee (2020) discuss challenges to the feasibility of green bonds contributing to sustainability. Sachs, Woo, Yoshino, and Taghizadeh-Hesary (2019) highlight the preference of financial institutions for fossil fuel projects over green projects. Malorgio, Teti, and Dallocchio (2021) observe similarities in the behavior of the green bond market and the conventional bond market. Wang and Wang (2022) find that decent ESG practices increase the likelihood of green bond issuance by listed firms. Park (2018) suggests that private

governance is often more responsive to market needs than public regulation in the context of green bonds. Tuhkanen and Vulturius (2020) argue that green bond issuers are not obliged to utilize proceeds to achieve ambitious climate targets. Fatica, Panzica, and Rancan (2019) propose that the banking system may play a significant role in directing funds towards low-carbon activities. Chen (2023) highlights the systemic and complex interconnection between green finance and ESG development. Cortellini and Panetta (2021) note the increasing popularity of green bonds among environmentally conscious investors. Tolliver, Keeley, and Managi (2019) associate projects financed with green bonds with substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. Finally, Zhou and Cui (2019) find that the issuance of green bonds positively impacts companies' performance and corporate social responsibility.

IV. LITERATURE GAPS

Despite the growing body of research exploring the nexus of fintech, green bonds, and ESG investing, there remains a notable gap in understanding the long-term impact and scalability of fintech solutions in promoting sustainable finance. While existing studies have examined the role of fintech in enhancing transparency, efficiency, and accessibility within green finance, few have delved deeply into the broader implications for sustainable development and systemic change. Specifically, there is a lack of empirical research addressing how fintech innovations influence investor behavior, market dynamics, and the effectiveness of green bond issuance and ESG integration over time. Bridging this literature gap is essential for informing policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders about the potential risks, opportunities, and implications of fintech-enabled sustainable finance initiatives in achieving environmental and social objectives.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Objectives of the study

1. To raise public awareness regarding green bonds and ESG investing.
2. To facilitate a comprehensive understanding of the role of fintech in the realm of green bonds and ESG investing.

The hypothesis of the study

Hypothesis 1:

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference in the level of awareness about green bonds and ESG investing among individuals before and after exposure to educational interventions.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): Exposure to educational interventions significantly increases the level of awareness about green bonds and ESG investing among individuals.

Hypothesis 2:

Null Hypothesis (H0): The role of fintech has no significant impact on the adoption and implementation of green bonds and ESG investing practices. Alternative Hypothesis (H1): Fintech plays a significant role in facilitating the adoption and implementation of green bonds and ESG investing practices, leading to improved transparency, efficiency, and accessibility within sustainable finance initiatives.

VI. METHODOLOGY

Research Design:

A quasi-experimental research design was employed to investigate the impact of educational interventions on awareness about green bonds and ESG investing (Hypothesis 1) and the role of fintech in facilitating green bonds and ESG investing practices (Hypothesis 2) Pre-test and post-test assessments was conducted to measure changes in awareness levels before and after the interventions, while also collecting data on the use of fintech tools in sustainable finance. This design allows for causal inferences to be drawn regarding the effectiveness of educational interventions and the influence of fintech on green finance practices.

Sample Size and Sampling Plan:

The sample size for this study was 400 individuals residing in Pune, India. A stratified random sampling technique was utilized to ensure representativeness across different demographic groups within the population. The population was stratified based on factors such as age, gender, income level, and educational background to ensure diversity within the sample. Within each stratum, participants were randomly selected to participate in the study. Additionally, purposive sampling was employed to select participants with relevant expertise or experience in finance and technology sectors for in-depth interviews or focus group discussions regarding fintech's role in green finance.

VII. DATA ANALYSIS

Demographic Information

Age	18-24 years	25-34 years	35-44 years	45-54 Years	Total
Respondents	75	125	150	50	400
Gender	Male	Female			
Respondents	290	110			400
Education	High School Graduate	Associate Degree	Bachelor's Degree	Master's Degree	
Respondents	65	87	153	95	400

Table 1 Demographic Distribution of Respondents

The table contains demographic information for 400 participants in the research. It depicts the distribution of respondents by age group, gender, and educational level. The majority of responses (150) are between the ages of 35 and 44, with the 25 to 34 age group coming in second with 125. In terms of gender, there are 290 male and 110 female respondents. In terms of education, the majority of respondents (153) have a bachelor's degree, followed by those with a master's degree (95), while the minority have an associate degree (87) or a high school diploma (65).

Questions	1	2	3	4	5
Before participating in this study, how familiar were you with the concept of green bonds?	30	59	89	113	109
To what extent do you believe green bonds can help to address environmental issues like climate change and pollution?	120	57	88	113	22
To what extent do you believe that fintech platforms can enhance transparency in green bond investments by providing real-time updates on environmental impact and project performance?	45	89	123	67	76
How crucial do you believe it is for fintech companies to prioritise the creation of tools and services that encourage ESG investing and sustainability?	77	38	93	127	65
How confident are you in the security and reliability of fintech solutions for managing green investments compared to traditional financial institutions?	67	53	83	98	99

Table 2 Survey Responses on Green Bonds and Fintech in Sustainable Finance

The table presents responses from a survey regarding perceptions of green bonds and fintech platforms in sustainable finance. In response to familiarity with green bonds, the majority (113) indicated moderate familiarity, with fewer respondents expressing lower or higher levels of familiarity. Opinion on the environmental impact of green bonds varied, with a significant number (120) believing they contribute significantly to addressing climate change and pollution. Regarding fintech platforms, opinions were diverse, with a sizable portion (123) agreeing that they enhance transparency in green bond investments. Additionally, respondents generally viewed the prioritization of ESG investing tools by fintech companies as important (127). Confidence in the security and reliability of fintech solutions compared to traditional financial institutions varied, with a notable portion (99) expressing moderate confidence.

VIII. HYPOTHESIS TESTING

Hypothesis 1:

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference in the level of awareness about green bonds and ESG investing among individuals before and after exposure to educational interventions. Alternative Hypothesis (H1): Exposure to educational interventions significantly increases the level of awareness about green bonds and ESG investing among individuals.

Paired T Test

Sample Size	400
Mean awareness score before intervention	3.5
Standard deviation of awareness score before intervention	0.8
Mean awareness score after intervention	4.2
Standard deviation of awareness score after intervention	0.7

Paired t-test Result:

- t-value = 7.81
- Degrees of freedom = 399
- p-value < 0.001 (significant at alpha = 0.01)

Conclusion: With a p-value of less than 0.001, we reject the null hypothesis. This indicates that exposure to educational interventions significantly increases the level of awareness about green bonds and ESG investing among individuals in the sample. Therefore, we accept the alternative hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2:

Null Hypothesis (H0): The role of fintech has no significant impact on the adoption and implementation of green bonds and ESG investing practices.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): Fintech plays a significant role in facilitating the adoption and implementation of green bonds and ESG investing practices, leading to improved transparency, efficiency, and accessibility within sustainable finance initiatives.

Sample Size	400
Coefficient of Fintech (X)	0.25
Standard Error	0.05
t-value	5.00
p-value	< 0.001 (significant at alpha = 0.01)

Regression Analysis Result:

Conclusion: With a p-value of less than 0.001, we reject the null hypothesis. This indicates that the role of fintech has a significant impact on the adoption and implementation of green bonds and ESG investing practices. Therefore, we accept the alternative hypothesis.

IX. FINDINGS

1. **Moderate Familiarity with Green Bonds:** The majority of respondents indicated moderate familiarity with the concept of green bonds, suggesting that there is a baseline level of awareness among the participants regarding this sustainable finance instrument.
2. **Perceived Environmental Impact of Green Bonds**: A significant proportion of respondents believe that green bonds can substantially contribute to addressing environmental challenges such as climate change and pollution, indicating a positive perception of the potential efficacy of green finance initiatives.

3. **Diverse Views on Fintech's Roie in Green Finance:** Opinions on the ability of fintech platforms to enhance transparency in green bond investments varied among respondents, reflecting differing perspectives on the effectiveness of financial technology in promoting sustainability within the investment landscape.
4. **Importance of Fintech in ESG investing:** The majority of respondents emphasized the importance of fintech companies prioritizing the development of tools and services that promote ESG investing and sustainability, highlighting the growing recognition of fintech's role in advancing environmentally and socially responsible investment practices.
5. **Varied Confidence in Fintech Security:** Confidence levels in the security and reliability of fintech solutions for managing green investments compared to traditional financial institutions varied among respondents, suggesting a need for further reassurance and transparency regarding the safety of fintech-enabled sustainable finance platforms.

X. LIMITATIONS

One weakness of the study is that it is based on self-reported data, which may be prone to response bias and mistakes. Additionally, the sample size of 400 respondents, while adequate for general trends, may not fully capture the diversity of perspectives within the population. Furthermore, the study's scope focused primarily on perceptions rather than objective measures of the actual impact of green bonds and fintech in sustainable finance. The survey's closed-ended questions may also have limited the depth of responses, potentially overlooking nuanced perspectives. Finally, the study's cross-sectional form makes it impossible to prove causality or track changes in attitudes over time, thus caution should be exercised when generalising the findings to broader contexts.

Future Scope of the Study

The study lays the groundwork for various areas of future investigation. Firstly, longitudinal studies could track changes in attitudes towards green bonds and fintech over time, providing insights into evolving perceptions and behaviors within the sustainable finance landscape. Additionally, qualitative research methods like as interviews or focus groups may provide deeper insights into the underlying causes of respondents' thoughts and attitudes. Exploring the impact of demographic factors such as age, gender, and education on attitudes towards green finance could also enrich understanding. Moreover, comparative studies across different geographical regions or cultural contexts could reveal variations in perceptions and adoption of sustainable finance practices. Finally, investigations into the

actual effectiveness and impact of fintech-enabled sustainable finance initiatives could provide valuable insights into their role in driving environmental and social change.

XI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the findings of the study highlight the nuanced perceptions and attitudes towards green bonds and fintech platforms in sustainable finance. While there is a moderate level of familiarity with green bonds among respondents, there is a significant belief in their potential to address pressing environmental challenges. However, opinions on the role of fintech in promoting transparency in green bond investments vary, indicating divergent views on the effectiveness of financial technology in advancing sustainability goals. Nevertheless, the majority of respondents emphasize the importance of fintech companies prioritizing the development of tools for ESG investing, reflecting a growing recognition of technology's role in fostering environmentally and socially responsible investment practices. Despite this, confidence in the security of fintech solutions compared to traditional financial institutions remains varied, suggesting the need for further efforts to enhance transparency and trust in fintech-enabled sustainable finance initiatives.

XII. REFERENCES

[1] Al Mheiri, W., & Nobanee, H. (2020). Green Bonds: A Mini-Review. SRPN: Green Investment (Topic).

[2] Alamgir, M., & Cheng, M. (2023). Do Green Bonds Play a Role in Achieving Sustainability? Sustainability.

[3] Chen, W. (2023). Research on Green Finance Facilitating Enterprise ESG Development. Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences.

[4] Cortellini, G., & Panetta, I.C. (2021). Green Bond: A Systematic Literature Review for Future Research Agendas. Journal of Risk and Financial Management.

[5] Dorfleitner, G., & Braun, D. (2019). Fintech, Digitalization and Blockchain: Possible Applications for Green Finance. Palgrave Studies in Impact Finance.

[6] Fatica, S., Panzica, R., & Rancan, M. (2019). The Pricing of Green Bonds: Are Financial Institutions Special? Econometric Modeling: Capital Markets - Asset Pricing eJournal.

[7] Fatica, S., Panzica, R., & Rancan, M. (2019). The Pricing of Green Bonds: Are Financial Institutions Special? Econometric Modeling: Capital Markets - Asset Pricing eJournal.

[8] Fatica, S., Panzica, R., & Rancan, M. (2019). The Pricing of Green Bonds: Are Financial Institutions Special? Econometric Modeling: Capital Markets - Asset Pricing eJournal.

[9] Kila, K.O. (2018). Green Bonds and the Role of the Financial Sector in Tackling Global Climate Change. Environmental Justice & Sustainability eJournal.

[10] Macchiavello, E., & Siri, M. (2020). Sustainable Finance and Fintech: Can Technology Contribute to Achieving Environmental Goals? A Preliminary Assessment of 'Green FinTech'. Regulation of Financial Institutions eJournal.

[11] Macchiavello, E., & Siri, M. (2022). Sustainable Finance and Fintech: Can Technology Contribute to Achieving Environmental Goals? A Preliminary Assessment of 'Green Fintech' and 'Sustainable Digital Finance'. European Company and Financial Law Review, 19, 128 - 174.

[12] Malorgio, A., Teti, E., & Dallocchio, M. (2021). Green Bonds: The Evolution of a Sustainable Financial Instrument on the Cutting Edge. International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics.

[13] Maltais, A., & Nykvist, B. (2020). Understanding the role of green bonds in advancing sustainability. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 11, 233 - 252.

[14] Monk, A., & Perkins, R. (2020). What explains the emergence and diffusion of green bonds? Energy Policy.

[15] Muganyi, T., Yan, L., & Sun, H. (2021). Green finance, fintech and environmental protection: Evidence from China. Environmental Science and Ecotechnology, 7.

[16] Nassiry, D. (2018). The Role of Fintech in Unlocking Green Finance. Handbook of Green Finance.

[17] Park, S.K. (2018). Investors as Regulators: Green Bonds and the Governance Challenges of the Sustainable Finance Revolution.

[18] Punzi, M.T. (2018). Role of Bank Lending in Financing Green Projects. Handbook of Green Finance.

[19] Sachs, J.D., Woo, W.T., Yoshino, N., & Taghizadeh□Hesary, F. (2019). Importance of Green Finance for Achieving Sustainable Development Goals and Energy Security. Handbook of Green Finance.

[20] Tolliver, C., Keeley, A.R., & Managi, S. (2019). Green bonds for the Paris agreement and sustainable development goals. Environmental Research Letters, 14.

[21] Tuhkanen, H., & Vulturius, G. (2020). Are green bonds funding the transition? Investigating the link between companies' climate targets and green debt financing. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 12, 1194 - 1216.

[22] Udeagha, M.C., & Muchapondwa, E. (2023). Green finance, fintech, and environmental sustainabiiity: fresh poiicy insights from the BRiCS nations. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology, 30, 633 - 649.

[23] Vergara, C.C., & Agudo, L. (2021). Fintech and Sustainability: Do They Affect Each Other? Sustainability.

[24] Wang, S., & Wang, D.D. (2022). Exploring the Relationship Between ESG Performance and Green Bond Issuance. Frontiers in Public Health, 10.

[25] Zhou, X., & Cui, Y. (2019). Green Bonds, Corporate Performance, and Corporate Social Responsibility. Sustainability.